Crosby Independent School District Crosby Elementary School 2023-2024 Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

Crosby Elementary School seeks to create a challenging learning environment that encourages high expectations for success through developmentally appropriate instruction that allows for individual differences and learning styles. Our school promotes a safe, orderly, caring and supportive environment. Each student's self-esteem is fostered by positive relationships with students and staff. We strive to have our parents, teachers and community members actively involved in our students' learning.

Vision

Teaching Today's Kids for Tomorrow's Future

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	6
School Processes & Programs	8
Perceptions	10
Priority Problem Statements	11
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	13
Goals	15
Goal 1: Crosby Elementary School will make academic achievement and student performance its priority.	15
Goal 2: College or Career Ready graduates of Crosby Elementary School will be prepared for success after high school by being adequately prepared for either college or we	ork
force success.	35
Goal 3: Human Capital: Crosby Elementary School will recruit, hire, develop, and retain highly qualified and effective personnel.	37
Goal 4: District-Wide Climate of High Expectation and Teamwork Crosby Elementary School will strive to value the input of all stakeholders while maintaining high	
expectations and a student-centered philosophy.	38
Goal 5: Crosby Elementary School will develop Co-Curricular programs which enhance students' educational experiences, academic achievement, and school and communit	
pride.	42
Goal 6: Community Relations Through communications and customer service Crosby Elementary School will create an informative and responsive culture and a welcoming	
environment.	44
Goal 7: Crosby Elementary School will be a good steward of taxpayers' money	47
Goal 8: Crosby Elementary School will maintain quality and safe facilities and adequately plan for future needs.	48
Goal 9: Public Education Reform Crosby Elementary School will support and/or participate in litigation and/or legislative efforts in support of a strong public education syst	
Title I	52
1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment	52
2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders	52
2.2: Regular monitoring and revision	52
2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language	52
2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards	52
2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education	52
2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk	52
Title I Personnel	53

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary
All Students: 705
Hispanic: 320
White: 256
African American: 86
American Indian: 3
Hawaiian/Pacific Island: 0
Asian: 4
2 or More Races: 36
Eco Dis: 60.5%

Demographics Strengths

Crosby Elementary is growing. The students enrolling are from a variety of demographic and ethnic backgrounds. To better serve all students, CES has an Accelerated Instruction (AI) /RTI program for Math and Reading in all grade-levels. Struggling students are serviced in this program in order to close gaps and keep students on grade-level. This program at CES is designed to focus on the individual student's data and provide assistance to help each student make progress in their area of concern. Accelerated Instruction is designed to meet the needs of our students that are performing on grade level or above. Al challenges students' learning and engages them in an enriched curriculum. The purpose of this design of RTI and AI time is to develop critical thinkers and to increase the number of students performing at the Meets and Masters level on STAAR.

Demographics Needs:

Attendance: Although CES has incentives for students to attend school by rewarding students every week with perfect attendance, attendance is still a problem for some students and families. As a district there is an attendance policy in place. At times, CES has asked the campus SRO

to make a home visit in regards to attendance. Attendance clerk makes phone calls home and teachers reach out to families with multiple absences. Attendance clerk also posts daily attendance, tardies and early outs on a display board in the front office. CES will focus during the 2023-24 school year on increasing the daily overall attendance rate.

Good attendance is directly related to student achievement; therefore, we need our students in attendance so that they can achieve academic success. Crosby Elementary School will create a positive culture with high expectations, a welcoming environment, excellent management, good teachers, a solid curriculum, strong parent involvement and engagement, and learning environments that are culturally relevant and respectful of the skills and knowledge students bring to school.

Attendance Plan for 2023-2024 School Year:

- Weekly Attendance Reports
- Work with CISD Staff/Officers to enforce attendance policies.
- Educate parents/guardians in the importance of school attendance on student achievement.
- Implement incentives school wide to foster a culture of attendance.
- Individual student recognition each week/9 weeks for students with perfect attendance.
- Perfect attendance students for 1st semester, 2nd semester and year recognized at school awards assemblies.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Strategic Priority District & Campus (Local Strategic Priority - Attendance): Crosby Elementary's attendance rate falls below the district attendance expectation of 96%. **Root Cause:** Attendance policy needs adequate monitoring, organization, structure and implementation from the district and campuses.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Crosby Elementary School will administer DRA for grade 1 and grade 2 tier 2 and 3 students only. Third through fifth grade Tier 2 and 3 students will be administered the Rigby assessment. Amplify is used as a universal screener for grades 1-2 in reading. Renaissance 360 is used as a universal screener for grades 3-5. Crosby Elementary School's reading interventions include Renaissance 360 (BOY screener), a push-in intervention program, a pull-out intervention program, campus-wide intervention and acceleration program. Crosby Elementary School's math intervention programs will include IXL Math, push-in intervention program, a pull-out intervention and acceleration program. Support for Emergent Bilingual students utilize best practices in technology integration aimed at strengthening skills in vocabulary, reading fluency, and reading comprehension.

Student Learning Strengths

Crosby Elementary School's Accelerated Instruction Plan for the 2023-2024 school year will support the campus goal of growth for all students. This program provides Tier 2 and Tier 3 intervention for students who qualify, targeted skills groups for students who need remediation and enrichment for students who need extension activities.

Crosby Elementary School utilizes local and state assessments, work samples, progress with language development and multiple other sources of data to inform instructional decisions, interventions and differentiated instruction opportunities. Disaggregating data allows instructional coaches, interventionists, classroom teachers and campus administration to work together in an effort to identify areas of focus and strengths to improve our overall Tier 1 instruction.

Needs:

Continue targeted training to improve Tier 1 instruction.

Continue professional development for ELAR teachers to build a stronger foundation with the SAVVAS curriculum adopted by the district for grades 1-5.

Continue professional development with Math teachers to meet target scores in Academic Achievement and Student Growth.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Strategic Priority District & Campus: (Building a Foundation in Reading/Math) Improvement of Tier I instruction across the campus/district. **Root Cause:** Training and ongoing support for all Tier I teachers to implement effective teaching strategies that are required by the district and/or campus, as well as alignment of instruction with state identified student learning expectations. **Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** Strategic Priority District & Campus: (Building a Foundation in Reading/Math) At-Risk students at CES do not perform equal to their grade-level peers. **Root Cause:** Focus on targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): CES's Economically Disadvantaged student groups in grades 3-5 fell below the state's target goal of 36% for Academic Achievement in Math. **Root Cause:** Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): CES's 4th and 5th grade Economically Disadvantaged student groups fell below the state's target goal of 68% in Academic Growth for Math **Root Cause:** Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): CES's White student group in grades 3-5 fell below the state's target goal of 59% in Math for Academic Achievement. **Root Cause:** Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.

Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized): CES's Hispanic student group in grades 3-5 fell below the state's target goal in Math for Academic Achievement. **Root Cause:** Training and ongoing support for all Tier I teachers to implement effective teaching strategies that are required by the campus.

Problem Statement 7 (Prioritized): CES's African American student group in grades 3-5 fell below the state's target goals for Academic Achievement in Math Root Cause: Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.

Problem Statement 8 (Prioritized): CES's students being serviced in special education fell below the state's target goal of 23% in Math for Academic Achievement **Root Cause:** Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.

Problem Statement 9 (Prioritized): CES's student groups of Whites and African Americans in grades 3-5, have all fallen below the state's target goals for Student Success. Root Cause: Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.

Problem Statement 10 (Prioritized): CES's student group of Emergent Bilinguals in grades 3-5, has fallen below the state's target goal of 37% for Student Success. **Root Cause:** Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Crosby Elementary School disaggregates data from local and state assessments. This practice drives instruction and addresses student needs, as well as provides goal-setting. Response to intervention is delivered and monitored to ensure learning deficits are addressed and student progress is made. Intervention occurs by the classroom teacher and interventionists. Differentiated instruction provides learning opportunities for each student. Campus leaders support instructional practices by offering instructional feedback to teachers. As a result, professional development strategies are monitored to ensure strategies are being used with fidelity. Scientifically-based research and best practice strategies are being implemented by the campus. Using Pearson, Words Their Way, DRA, Rigby, age and content appropriate manipulatives, and practices such as Daily 5, LLI, and Reading A-Z are research-based strategies to improve instruction and learning.

Ninety- eight percent of all teachers on the CES campus are highly qualified. Crosby Elementary has a staff of caring team players, willing to do whatever it takes to ensure academic success for all of our students. Teachers have come from within Crosby ISD and outside the district as well.

Our Schoolwide Title I program plans consist of parent involvement activities including Meet the Teacher Night, Open House, STEAM Night, Parent Compact Conferences, and regular parent nights to identify and clarify the curriculum utilized for all of the campus, and curriculum-specific professional development.

Crosby Elementary School conducts monthly safety drills. CES Front Office Staff has the Raptor Software that includes V-Soft Identification Program. CES participates in the Backpack Buddy Program. CES also partners with Crosby High School's football team and Instructional Practices class. These programs from the high school also provide mentors for our students.

All classroom teachers at CES have a Macbook; iPad; document camera; Every student is issued an iPad. We also have access to district IT support for any programs that teachers need professional development.

All teachers have, and are using, either Remind, Class DoJo, and/or send out a weekly newsletters to parents. Campus leadership utilizes Skyward, SMORE and social media to communicate with parents.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Staff participates in PLCs, staff meetings and professional development. Professional Learning Communities allow teachers and campus administration an opportunity to disaggregate student data, determine outcomes of assessments, and make campus decisions regarding instruction. Professional development supports the teachers in understanding student data and how the information will drive the classroom instruction.

Needs:

Continue to provide professional development on Pearson curriculum adopted by the district for ELAR teachers.

Continue to train and support staff on effective ways to integrate technology in the classroom.

Continue to build and strengthen lessons in Math across all grade levels.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Strategic Priority District & Campus: (Recruit, Support, and Retain teachers and principals) Problem Statement: Teachers do not have the knowledge of instructional strategies necessary to foster success with At-Risk student populations. **Root Cause:** Professional development is needed in the area of instructional strategies.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Parent involvement is high at CES. Parents are eager to be part of the school. There is a very committed and dedicated group of parents who would like to volunteer on a weekly basis. Crosby Elementary has a staff of caring team players, willing to do whatever it takes to ensure academic success for all of our students. CES works to provide an environment conducive to learning. The campus staff members are a team and are working collaboratively to continue to build a school based on the academic success of students and positive relationships. PBIS is established to encourage and support this positive student/teacher/community culture and climate. Faculty and Staff will work to build school/ parent relationships through positive communication.

Perceptions Strengths

Parent and Community involvement at Crosby Elementary is strong. CES offers many opportunities for our parents and community members to join in the partnership of educating child. We have Meet the Teacher, Open House, STEAM night, a minimum of five musical presentations, Assemblies, Fund Raisers, Field Day Activities, Turkey Trot, Trunk or Treat, PTA, Movie Nights, and many other opportunities for parental involvement.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Strategic Priority District & Campus (Local Strategic Priority - Attendance): Crosby Elementary's attendance rate falls below the district attendance expectation of 96%.

Root Cause 1: Attendance policy needs adequate monitoring, organization, structure and implementation from the district and campuses.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: Strategic Priority District & Campus: (Building a Foundation in Reading/Math) Improvement of Tier I instruction across the campus/district.Root Cause 2: Training and ongoing support for all Tier I teachers to implement effective teaching strategies that are required by the district and/or campus, as well as alignment of instruction with state identified student learning expectations.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Strategic Priority District & Campus: (Building a Foundation in Reading/Math) At-Risk students at CES do not perform equal to their grade-level peers.
Root Cause 3: Focus on targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.
Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Strategic Priority District & Campus: (Recruit, Support, and Retain teachers and principals) Problem Statement: Teachers do not have the knowledge of instructional strategies necessary to foster success with At-Risk student populations.
Root Cause 4: Professional development is needed in the area of instructional strategies.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 5: CES's Economically Disadvantaged student groups in grades 3-5 fell below the state's target goal of 36% for Academic Achievement in Math.
Root Cause 5: Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.
Problem Statement 5 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 6: CES's 4th and 5th grade Economically Disadvantaged student groups fell below the state's target goal of 68% in Academic Growth for Math Root Cause 6: Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps. Problem Statement 6 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 7: CES's White student group in grades 3-5 fell below the state's target goal of 59% in Math for Academic Achievement.
Root Cause 7: Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.
Problem Statement 7 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 8: CES's Hispanic student group in grades 3-5 fell below the state's target goal in Math for Academic Achievement.Root Cause 8: Training and ongoing support for all Tier I teachers to implement effective teaching strategies that are required by the campus.Problem Statement 8 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 9: CES's students being serviced in special education fell below the state's target goal of 23% in Math for Academic AchievementRoot Cause 9: Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.Problem Statement 9 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 10: CES's African American student group in grades 3-5 fell below the state's target goals for Academic Achievement in Math
Root Cause 10: Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.
Problem Statement 10 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 11: CES's student groups of Whites and African Americans in grades 3-5, have all fallen below the state's target goals for Student Success.
Root Cause 11: Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.
Problem Statement 11 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 12: CES's student group of Emergent Bilinguals in grades 3-5, has fallen below the state's target goal of 37% for Student Success.
Root Cause 12: Lack of targeted instructional teaching & interventions based upon individual and sub-group needs/gaps.
Problem Statement 12 Areas: Student Learning

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results

Student Data: Student Groups

- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Discipline records

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Capacity and resources data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data

Goals

Goal 1: Crosby Elementary School will make academic achievement and student performance its priority.

Performance Objective 1: Crosby Elementary School teachers will offer rigorous coursework while keeping the individual needs of students in mind.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Student performance on state assessments.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Technology will be implemented into the classroom to enhance students' learning.	Formative			Summative
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers using programs and devices during instruction. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Technologists Principal Assistant Principal Teachers TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Universal screeners will be used for math and reading for grades 1-2. District Assessments will be used for grades 3-5.	Formative Dec Feb Apr			Summative June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student progress Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Interventionists Principal Assistant Principal Teacher TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Balanced Literacy will be implemented in reading classes. DRA assessments and Amplify will be used to		Formative		
monitor reading progress.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Performance of elementary students on grade level reading standards.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal				
Instructional Coaches				
Interventionists				
Teacher				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	_
Strategy 4: Data driven instruction will be used to monitor all core subjects. Teachers will receive feedback and identify		Formative		Summative
strategies to improve instruction.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student performance on tests and accountability.			I.	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal				
Teacher				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Lever 5. Effective instruction		1	1	1
Lever 5: Effective instruction				

Performance Objective 2: Monitor student performance throughout the year to ensure progress on state tests.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Progress and pass rates of students on state tests used for accountability.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 1: Teachers will enter students' assessments in Eduphoria to track achievement and progress.	Formative			Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: STAAR pass rates and progress measures	Dec	Feb	Apr	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal						
Assistant Principal						
Curriculum Coordinators						
Instructional Coaches						
Interventionists						
TEA Priorities:						
Build a foundation of reading and math						
- ESF Levers:						
Lever 5: Effective Instruction						
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	l			
Strategy 2: Schedule ongoing data meetings throughout the school year to discuss strengths and weaknesses.	Formative Su					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: STAAR	Dec	Feb	Apr	June		
Student Achievement		100	· · p·	oune		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal						
Assistant Principal						
Curriculum Coordinators						
Interventionists						
Instructional Coaches						
TEA Priorities:						
Build a foundation of reading and math						
	1	1				
- ESF Levers:						

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Conduct "Learning Walks" to observe that teachers are meeting district expectations for instruction.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: STAAR Progress Measure Pass Rates Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Curriculum Coordinators	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: Teacher will use the district scope and sequence and teach targeted TEKS each week.		Formative S		
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Performance on unit assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Principal Assistant Principal TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction 	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	1	

Performance Objective 3: Crosby Elementary School will provide meaningful professional development opportunities for all staff.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Staff successfully attends and implements strategies taught in the PD sessions.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Staff development offered throughout the year will target strategies to reach all students.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Retention Student Achievement	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches				
Interventionists				
Principal Assistant Principal				
Assistant Principal				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: The RTI process will continue to be implemented. Staff will continue to be trained on the model, standards and		Formative		Summative
specific Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions. Teachers serving the students in GT will also be trained for Accelerated Instruction.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: STAAR			F -	
Student Achievement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches				
Interventionists				
Principal Assistant Principal				
Assistant i melpai				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discor	I		

Performance Objective 4: All student groups taking the STAAR Reading Assessments will increase their performance to a level equal to or greater than the overall passing rate of the campus. Third grade STAAR performance will meet HB 3 Board Goal targets.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2024 STAAR Reading assessment data will be reviewed to identify attainment of the performance objective.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Student performance will be monitored through the use of classroom based student assessments and STAAR			Formative		Summative
ests. This information will establish a baseline for a student's general understanding of the subject material. Teachers and ntervention specialists will also utilize universal screeners to assess the current academic level of the student within the	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
content. Following this assessment sequence, student intervention groups will be established to address deficit areas students have within the content.					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student intervention groups will be formed and focused instruction will take					
place.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal					
Assistant Principal					
Instructional Coach					
Teachers					
Interventionists					
TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Data teams consisting of teachers, interventionists, assistant principal, and the principal will meet following		Summative		
major assessments, such as unit tests and milestones, to review student performance. Deficit areas within the content or among student groups will be identified during these meetings. As a result, the intervention groups formed in strategy #1	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
will be adjusted as needed to meet the individual needs of struggling students.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The intervention groups should remain fluid, meaning that as students master struggling concepts, they exit the intervention. Likewise, as other students develop a struggle within the content, they are added to the intervention group so their lack of understanding can be corrected.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers				
Interventionists				
Assistant Principal				
Principal				
Curriculum Coordinators Instructional Coaches				
Instructional Coaches				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Throughout the year, teachers and interventionists will prepare lessons designed to meet the needs of the		Formative		Summative
students they are working with. The instructional material presented to students will be supplemental to the Tier 1 instruction the student received in the classroom.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will improve their performance on classroom tests, Milestone Assessments, and STAAR.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Interventions				
Instructional Coaches				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Campuses will continue to monitor the RtI process to ensure teacher understanding of how to match appropriate		Formative		
student goals with targeted intervention and progress monitoring. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Progress monitoring forms within eSpEd of the RtI contents Beginning of the Year universal screener, district benchmarks Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Interventionists Assistant Principals Principals	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Instructional Coaches TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Strategy 5 Details			iews	
Strategy 5: HB4545: Current 4th and 5th grade students that did not meet the passing standard for the 2023 Reading		Formative	ICWS	Summative
STAAR assessment will receive 30 hours of additional targeted support for Reading before the April 2024 Reading STAAR assessment. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close academic learning gaps for all 4th and 5th grade students increasing the percentage of students scoring meets and masters on the 2024 Math STAAR tests. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Interventionists Teachers Assistant Principal	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Principal Or No Progress Or Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 5: All student groups taking the STAAR Math Assessments will increase their performance to a level equal to or greater than the overall passing rate of the campus. Third grade STAAR performance will meet the HB3 Board Goal targets.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2023-2024 STAAR Math assessment data will be reviewed to identify attainment of the performance objective.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
egy 1: Student performance will be monitored through the use of classroom based student assessments and STAAR		Formative			
tests. This information will help form a baseline for a student's general understanding of the subject material. Teachers and intervention specialists will also utilize universal screeners to assess the current academic level of the student within the	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
content. Following this assessment sequence, student intervention groups will be established to address deficit areas students have within the content.					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student intervention groups will be formed and focused instruction will take place.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Coach					
Teachers					
Interventionists					
Starte 2 Dataile		D	•		
Strategy 2 Details			iews		
Strategy 2: Data teams consisting of teachers, interventionists, assistant principal, and the principal will meet following	Formative			Summative	
major assessments, such as unit tests and milestones, to review student performance. Deficit areas within the content or among student groups will be identified during these meetings. As a result, the intervention groups formed in strategy #1	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
 will be adjusted as needed to meet the individual needs of struggling students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The intervention groups should remain fluid, meaning that as students master struggling concepts, they exit the intervention. Likewise, as other students develop a struggle within the content, they are added to the intervention group so their lack of understanding can be corrected. 					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers Interventionists					
Assistant Principal					
Principal Curriculum Coordinators					
Instructional Coaches					

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Throughout the year, teachers and interventionists will prepare lessons designed to meet the needs of the	Formative			Summative
students they are working with. The instructional material presented to students will be supplemental to the Tier 1 instruction the student received in the classroom.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will improve their performance on classroom tests, Milestone Assessments, and STAAR.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Interventions				
Instructional Coaches				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
trategy 4: Campuses will continue to monitor the RtI process to ensure teacher understanding of how to match appropriate		Formative		Summative
student goals with targeted intervention and progress monitoring. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Progress monitoring forms within eSpEd of the RtI contents	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Beginning of the Year diagnostic screeners, unit tests, milestones Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Interventionists Assistant Principal Principal Instructional Coaches				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iows	
Strategy 5: HB4545: Current 4th & 5th grade students that did not meet the passing standard for the 2023 Math STAAR		Formative		Summative
assessment will receive 30 hours of additional targeted support for Math before the April 2024 Math STAAR assessment.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close academic learning gaps for all 4th and 5th grade students increasing the percentage of students scoring meets and masters on the 2024 Math STAAR tests. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Interventionists Teachers Assistant Principal Principal 				
No Progress 😡 Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 6: All student groups taking the STAAR Science Assessments will increase their performance to a level equal to or greater than the overall passing rate of the campus.

Evaluation Data Sources: 2024 STAAR Science assessment data will be reviewed to identify attainment of the performance objective.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Student performance will be monitored through the use of classroom based student assessments, milestones and		Formative		Summative
STAAR tests. This information will help form a baseline for a student's general understanding of the subject material. Following this assessment sequence, student intervention groups will be established to address deficit areas students have within the content.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student intervention groups will be formed and focused instruction will take place. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal Instructional Coach				
Teachers Interventionists				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Data teams consisting of teachers, interventionists, assistant principal, and the principal will meet following		Formative		Summative
major assessments, such as unit tests and milestones, to review student performance. Deficit areas within the content or among student groups will be identified during these meetings. As a result, the intervention groups formed in strategy #1 will be adjusted as needed to meet the individual needs of struggling students.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The intervention groups should remain fluid, meaning that as students master struggling concepts, they exit the intervention. Likewise, as other students develop a struggle within the content, they are added to the intervention group so their lack of understanding can be corrected.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers Interventionists Assistant Principal				
Principal Instructional Coaches				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Lever 5. Effective instruction				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Throughout the year, teachers and interventionists will prepare lessons designed to meet the needs of the		Formative		Summative
students they are working with. The instructional material presented to students will be supplemental to the Tier 1 instruction the student received in the classroom.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will improve their performance on classroom tests, Milestone Assessments, and STAAR.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Interventionists				
Instructional Coaches				
TEA Priorities:				
I LA Prioriues:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Campuses will continue to monitor the RtI process to ensure teacher understanding of how to match appropriate		Formative		Summative
student goals with targeted intervention and progress monitoring.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Progress monitoring forms within eSpEd of the RtI contents				
Beginning of the Year diagnostic screeners, unit tests, milestones and monthly RTI meetings				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Interventionists				
Assistant Principal				
Principal				
Instructional Coaches				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	-	·

Performance Objective 7: Crosby Elementary School addresses the needs of the students in the Gifted and Talented (GT) program.

Evaluation Data Sources: An annual review/alignment of the Texas State Plan for the Education of Gifted and Talented Students.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Teachers are required to obtain 30 hours of GT training. Additionally, teachers acquire 6 hours of annual GT		Formative		Summative
 update training. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Professional development hours obtained and documented. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Principal Assistant Principal TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Students identified for GT services will receive support and enhanced instruction through project based		Formative	-	Summative
learning. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students performing at advanced levels. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Principal	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Assistant Principal TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	<u> </u>	

Performance Objective 8: Crosby Elementary School will address identified needs in the ESL program.

Evaluation Data Sources: The records of numerous assessment data, including TAPR, STAAR, TELPAS, and RDA will be measured.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Crosby Elementary School will maintain and adjust ESL services according to student needs, academic deficits		Formative		Summative
and the master schedule.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: ESL program that services ESL students.				
The education plan for individual students reflected in the LPAC action plan.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Counselor				
Principal				
Assistant Principal				
ESL Coordinator				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Increase ESL parent communication, awareness and involvement.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Attendance in parent communication meetings.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			F	
Principal				
Assistant Principal				
ESL Coordinator				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Continue ongoing training in the ELPS, ESL strategies and Sheltered Instruction Strategies.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers effectively use strategies learned in professional development sessions.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal				
ESL Coordinator				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Organization Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 9: During the 2023-24 school year, students receiving Special Education services will improve their performance to a level that meets or exceeds state Special Education passing averages on STAAR assessments.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Successful implementation of the ARD committee recommendations, STAAR Assessment Scores

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: In-class support will be provided for Special Education Students.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Performance of Special Education students on grade level standards with support or accommodations.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Special Education Director Teachers				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Continue the usage of LLI in grades 1-5 as curriculum support.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Special Education students' achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Special Education Director Principal TEA Priorities:	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Build a foundation of reading and math				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Professional development will be delivered to classroom teachers, paraprofessionals and special education		Formative		Summative
teachers with a focus on inclusion instructional strategies.	Dec	Feb	Feb Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Professional development provided with instructional strategies being witnessed in the classrooms.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Education Director Principal				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: Walk-throughs will be conducted to observe instruction in the inclusion setting.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Feedback will be provided to the classroom teachers based on best practices for	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
special education students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	I		1

Performance Objective 10: Students with specific needs will receive individual attention and support through targeted instruction.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: All students will perform successfully on measures of evaluation.

	E		Summative
	Formative		
Dec	Feb	Apr	June
	Rev	views	
	1	1	Summative
Dec	Feb	Apr	June
	Dec Dec	Rev Formative	Reviews Formative

Performance Objective 11: Attendance will increase by monitoring attendance with weekly attendance reports and following the district's attendance policy.

Evaluation Data Sources: The increase in attendance will be noted on the state accountability records.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Student attendance incentive programs will be implemented at the campus level.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus attendance rates will increase from the previous school year.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Attendance Clerk			-	
Principal				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	l tinue		

Goal 2: College or Career Ready graduates of Crosby Elementary School will be prepared for success after high school by being adequately prepared for either college or work force success.

Performance Objective 1: Increase opportunities for students, parents and the community to learn about colleges and careers.

Evaluation Data Sources: Feedback from parents, students, staff. Number of students entering college. Number of students entering professional careers.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Conduct College and Career Awareness week with collaboration from CHS counselors.		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor Principal Classroom Teachers	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Conduct campus college week.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students participating in activities Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor Classroom Teacher Principal	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Designated College Days each week on campus. Students and Staff can wear their favorite college gear to		Formative		Summative
school. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students identifying and understanding the importance of a college education after high school.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor Computer Lab Teacher Classroom Teachers				
TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue	1	-

Goal 3: Human Capital: Crosby Elementary School will recruit, hire, develop, and retain highly qualified and effective personnel.

Performance Objective 1: Crosby Elementary School seeks to create a culture of employee accountability at all levels with a shared direction among personnel.

Evaluation Data Sources: Recruitment and Retention of Highly Qualified Central Campus Staff.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Review absence data to determine effectiveness of campus strategy.		Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campuses will have a decrease in their teacher absence rate. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: Provide high quality training, mentoring and leadership opportunities.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Inspiring, motivating and effective campus	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Coaches Interventionists Content Coordinators					
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning					
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	Discor	Intinue	<u> </u>	1	

Goal 4: District-Wide Climate of High Expectation and Teamwork

Crosby Elementary School will strive to value the input of all stakeholders while maintaining high expectations and a student-centered philosophy.

Performance Objective 1: Opportunities will be provided for parents to be informed of district and campus events and information.

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent feedback and participation in school events and district programs.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Parent Link, SMORE and Skyward Parent Access will inform parents of events through text, calls, and e-mails.		Formative		Summative
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parent Link information received. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Classroom Teachers TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture 	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Facebook, Twitter, and other social media will be used as communication tools to inform the community of		Formative		Summative
 campus and district events. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Informed Community, Attendance at School and District events. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture 	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Image: No Progress Image: No Progress Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	

Goal 4: District-Wide Climate of High Expectation and Teamwork

Crosby Elementary School will strive to value the input of all stakeholders while maintaining high expectations and a student-centered philosophy.

Performance Objective 2: A Bullying Plan will be implemented campus-wide.

Evaluation Data Sources: Crosby ISD will address bullying in any form.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: The campus counselor will implement an anti-bullying campaign, which will also include cyber-bullying, and		Formative		Summative
display media messages throughout the school.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Number of students reporting to be victimized by a bully.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Image: No Progress Image: No Progress Image: No Progress Image: No Progress	X Discon	ntinue		

Goal 4: District-Wide Climate of High Expectation and Teamwork

Crosby Elementary School will strive to value the input of all stakeholders while maintaining high expectations and a student-centered philosophy.

Performance Objective 3: Crosby Elementary School will implement methods for addressing needs of students for special programs.

Evaluation Data Sources: Teachers and staff members will be aware of special issues that can affect students.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: All campus personnel who come in contact with students will complete on-line training regarding child abuse		Formative			
and neglect reporting. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Identification of students in need and referral for counseling assistance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals -	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	-	
Strategy 2: Campus personnel will complete on-line training for suicide prevention.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students identified in need of counseling assistance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals -	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Texas Behavior Support Initiative will be implemented district-wide to analyze discipline issues and apply		Formative		Summative
corrective action, as well as emotional support for students. Programs used to address discipline management are: PASS, PBIS.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in time of students missing class for social/emotional conflict.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principal				
Counselor				
Principal				
Classroom Teachers				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 5: Crosby Elementary School will develop Co-Curricular programs which enhance students' educational experiences, academic achievement, and school and community pride.

Performance Objective 1: Crosby Elementary School will increase the opportunities for students to participate in school sponsored organizations.

Evaluation Data Sources: The number of students involved in extra-curricular activities will continue to increase.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Student organizations will recruit new members.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: New members will join student organizations. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Student Organization Sponsors	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: The number of student organizations available for students to join will increase.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The number of student organizations will increase from the previous year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Student Organization Sponsors	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Campuses will encourage students to participate in activities that interest them.			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will participate and remain committed to their activities and organizations.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Student Organization Sponsors				
Classroom Teachers				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 6: Community Relations

Through communications and customer service Crosby Elementary School will create an informative and responsive culture and a welcoming environment.

Performance Objective 1: Communication will enhance and encourage community involvement in schools.

Evaluation Data Sources: Increased participation will be recognized in social media, as well as attendance at school and district events.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: All phone calls and email will be returned within 1 school day.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Community response and participation will increase.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal All Staff				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Or Accomplished Continue/Modify	Discon	itinue	1	<u> </u>

Goal 6: Community Relations

Through communications and customer service Crosby Elementary School will create an informative and responsive culture and a welcoming environment.

Performance Objective 2: Crosby Elementary School staff will participate in community events.

Evaluation Data Sources: A strong alliance of community organizations and schools will be maintained.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Campus staff will participate in community parades and events.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The bond between the community and the campus will strengthen.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Image: Moment of the image: Moment	X Discon	itinue		

Goal 6: Community Relations

Through communications and customer service Crosby Elementary School will create an informative and responsive culture and a welcoming environment.

Performance Objective 3: Crosby Elementary School parents will be invited to participate in school sponsored events.

Evaluation Data Sources: Number of parents that attend

Strategy 1 Details				
Strategy 1: Parents will be invited to activities such as grade-level music performances, Special Education IEP meetings,		Formative		Summative
ESL parent nights, and parent-teacher conferences, book fairs, 504 meetings, STEAM nights, PTA events, class parties, field days, field trips, turkey trot, award ceremonies, and 5th grade promotion ceremony.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased family engagement				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal				
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Own Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1: The budgeting process will ensure efficiency in funding the district needs.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: The principal will review each purchase to verify it is reasonable and necessary.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus pending correlates to allocations for campus needs.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
CISD CFO				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				
No Progress Complished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 8: Crosby Elementary School will maintain quality and safe facilities and adequately plan for future needs.

Performance Objective 1: Crosby Elementary School will operate in a comfortable and safe atmosphere.

Evaluation Data Sources: Facilities will operate efficiently without interruption.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Campus administration will monitor and address building needs		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Calls from concerned staff and parents will be minimized.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Campus will have access to constables assigned to monitor them.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Proactive patrolling translates to few, if any, incidents on campus; Response time of officers will be immediate.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify	Discon	tinue		

Goal 8: Crosby Elementary School will maintain quality and safe facilities and adequately plan for future needs.

Performance Objective 2: Crosby Elementary School will be safe and secure for faculty, staff, students and community members.

Evaluation Data Sources: The number and type of incidents occurring that pose a disruption to the buildings, staff, students or the community will be minimized and/or eliminated.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Security audits will be performed to identify risks to building safety.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The presence of security is proactive in preventing harm to district stakeholders.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Fire Marshall inspection will identify areas at risk of causing harm to students or staff.	Formative Summa			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Areas of risk will be minimized and/or eliminated prior to inspection.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: 3) Perform fire, tornado, lock down, and evacuation drills frequently.	Formative Su		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be able to protect themselves during in actual emergency event and know correct procedures	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Occomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	-

Goal 9: Public Education Reform

Crosby Elementary School will support and/or participate in litigation and/or legislative efforts in support of a strong public education system.

Performance Objective 1: The principal will be aware and advocate for appropriate funding to benefit the students and stakeholders of Crosby Elementary School.

Evaluation Data Sources: Legislation passed that supports the efforts of public school students, particularly in Crosby ISD

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Campus staff and administrators will be advised of legislation affecting public schools.	Formative Summa		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Leadership meeting discussions	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Title I

1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Collaborated with interventionist, campus attendance clerk, classrooom teachers, assistant principal, counselor, content coordinators, parents.

2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders

CIP is developed with the input of all members that helped create the campus needs assessment.

2.2: Regular monitoring and revision

Stakeholders will review and revise the CIP 3 times a year.

2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language

The CIP will be made available on campus website once approved by the CISD School Board.

2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards

Students will be instructed on their level through Tier 1 Instruction, RTI and AI. Students needing in-class support, resource instruction, PASS or life skills will be identified and served according to individual needs.

2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education

Transisiton times have been minimized in the master schedule. Transition times durign AI/RTI have been minimized as well. Teacher are spending less amount of time with direct teach and incorporating small group instruction in order to meet student needs.

2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk

All students take a BOY universal screener in Reading. This allows students to be placed in the correct enrichment, accelerated instruction, or response to intervnetion group. Groups are fluid and continue to change based from data gathered from district assessments through-out the year.

Title I Personnel

Name	Position	Program	<u>FTE</u>
Interventionists	Interventionists	Title I	1 FTE